

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/805,798	03/22/2004	Justin K. Brask	ITL.0894D1US (P15193)	1055
759	90 12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
Timothy N. Trop			MENZ, DOUGLAS M	
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. STE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8554 KATY FWY HOUSTON, TX 77024-1841			2824	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/805,798	BRASK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Douglas M Menz	2824			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	, ,				
Period fo	or Reply					
THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N	Narch 2004.				
2a) <u></u>		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	· <u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-46</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>36-46</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
8)□	_					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) ⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar				
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
,-			-			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)			
_	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•				
	application from the International Burea		, and the second			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
Attachment	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: <u>Search Hist</u>	, , , , ,			

Art Unit: 2824

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the phrase "in accordance with the invention..." in line 1. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,798

Art Unit: 2824

Claims 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bernhardt et al. (US 53895964).

Regarding claim 36,Bernhardt discloses a semiconductor structure comprising: a substrate (11) containing a germanium region, a metal contact (36); and a germanide layer (23 and 31) located between the germanium region and the metal contact (Figs. 4-6 and Col. 4, line 28 – Col. 5, line 19).

Regarding claim 37, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer contacts the metal contact and the germanium region (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 38, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer comprises a nickel germanide layer (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 39, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer comprises a silicon germanide layer (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 40, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the metal contact (32) is associated with one of a source and a drain of a transistor (Fig. 6).

Regarding claim 41, Bernhardt discloses an apparatus comprising:

a transistor drain region (36), a transistor source region (37), a first metal contact (32A); a second metal contact (32B), a first germanide layer (23A and 31A) located between a germanium region of a semiconductor structure and the first metal contact; and a second germanide layer (23B and 31B) located between the germanium region and the second metal contact (32B, Figs. 4-6 and Col. 4, line 28 – Col. 5, line 19).

Regarding claim 42, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer contacts the metal contact and the germanium region (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 43, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer comprises a nickel germanide layer (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

Regarding claim 44, Bernhardt further discloses wherein the germanide layer comprises a silicon germanide layer (Col. 5, lines: 1-19).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2824

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernhardt et al. (US 53895964) in view of Chau et al. (US 6777759).

Regarding claims 45 and 46, Bernhardt discloses the apparatus of claim 41, however, Bernhardt does not disclose further comprising: a silicide region comprising a nickel silicide; and a transistor gate region in contact with the silicide region. Chau discloses a transistor structure (Figs. 1A-E) which has a silicide region (110) comprising a nickel silicide; and a transistor gate region (107) in contact with the silicide region (Figs. 1A-E and Col. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Chau's silicide regions into Bernhardt's structure for the purpose of reducing the electrode's resistance as taught by Chau (Col. 1).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas M Menz whose telephone number is 571-272-1877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on 571-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DM

MICHAEL S. LEBENTRITT PRIMARY EXAMINER